W.18.e.

## **AGENDA COVER MEMO**

**DATE:** April 14, 2004

TO: Lane County Board of Commissioners

**DEPARTMENT:** Public Works Department

PRESENTED BY: Ollie Snowden, Public Works Director

TITLE: PUBLIC HEARING AND ORDER/In the Matter of Recommending Countywide

Modernization Project Priorities to the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) for the 2006-2009 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

#### I. MOTION

Move approval of the Order.

## II. ISSUE

ODOT has requested project priorities from Lane County for the 2006-2009 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). This action is in preparation for participation in the Region 2 priority setting process in May.

## III. DISCUSSION

## A. Background

The Board scheduled a public hearing for 1:30 pm on April 14, 2004 to accept public testimony on countywide priorities for the draft 2006-2009 Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The Metropolitan Policy Committee (MPC) held a public hearing on March 11, 2004 to consider and recommend priorities in the Eugene-Springfield metropolitan area. The Committee failed to reach agreement on metro-area STIP priorities at that meeting. MPC is scheduled to consider this matter again at its April 8, 2004 meeting. Exhibit A, attached, reflects metro area priorities as proposed by the Transportation Planning Committee for the MPC public hearing that was held on March 11, 2004 (metro priority projects are those with a ranking notation – high, medium low and OTIA – in the "Metro" column at the right of the exhibit). If MPC changes these priorities on April 8<sup>th</sup>, staff will adjust the Order and Exhibit A and will distribute that information to the Board prior to April 14th.

Public Works staff sent a series of letters to the cities in Lane County and other interested parties to announce the county process, distribute information, and provide scheduling updates. The last in the series is included as Attachment 1. To improve public exposure, STIP-related information is available this year on both the Lane County and LCOG websites. All of the Board materials related to this STIP process are available to the public on the website or through the Board agenda archives. We are now maintaining an interested parties email list.

### B. Analysis

## **Construction STIP (CSTIP)**

The countywide priorities are divided into "Large Projects" and "Smaller Projects". ODOT estimates there will be about \$10 million available (\$5 million per year in 2008 and 2009) in conventional STIP funds and another \$29 million has been recently allocated to Region 2 for modernization from OTIA III (see OTIA below), for a total of \$39 million "on the table" at the All-Area Meeting. Based on historical percentages, Lane County might expect to get about a quarter of that amount. The smaller project list provides candidates for this relatively limited funding. The draft Exhibit A lists two new metro area projects (6<sup>th</sup>/7<sup>th</sup> Intersections and W 11<sup>th</sup>, Terry-Greenhill) as high priority candidates for this funding.

The third project in the "smaller projects" category is Beltline at Coburg Rd Interchange. It was prioritized by the MPC and Board in 2002 as a STIP priority. It was funded through the All-Area meeting process. Just prior to STIP adoption by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC), it was one of three projects that had funding reallocated to fund some Willamette Valley rail investments. OTC at the time gave assurances that they would backfill the project funding as federal funds allow. Local staff have put this project back on both the MPC and BCC lists to make sure this remains a priority issue. However, we do not feel that it should be refunded at the expense of other Lane County priorities at the All-Area meeting.

## **Development STIP (DSTIP)**

DSTIP projects will be funded out of the \$10 million in conventional STIP funding. It is not yet clear whether they are also eligible under OTIA. We do not think so based on the "ready for construction" criteria. Three high priority DSTIP projects have been identified on Exhibit A of the Order. They include: Beltline Facility Plan Study (\$2,000,000); I-5 at Willamette River Facility Plan Study (\$750,000); and I-5 at Coburg Interchange Environmental Assessment (\$200,000).

### **OTIA III Modernization Summary**

The Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) has recently announced the process for programming \$500 million in OTIA III Modernization funds. This information was distributed as supplemental information (dated March 3, 2004) for the March 10, 2004 Board discussion. Below is a brief recap of the OTIA Modernization funding components and how Lane County projects might qualify.

## Projects promoting freight mobility, industrial access, and job creation (\$100 million statewide)

Beltline/I-5 Interchange and the West Eugene Parkway have been put on a Region 2 priority list by the statewide Freight Mobility Committee. Lane County staff included I-5/Coburg Interchange as an OTIA priority hoping it could be added to this list based on the potential for job creation in Coburg. It appears that Beltline/I-5 Interchange is viewed most favorably by the Freight Committee at this time. The committee will be making their recommendation directly to OTC in April.

# Modernization Projects (\$200 million, \$100 million by region equity and \$100 million for projects of Statewide Significance)

For the region equity part of this, \$29 million has been distributed to Region 2 for priority setting. Based on OTIA requirements, these projects are required to address 'readiness for construction' criteria and also the ability to provide local match in the form of funding, right-of-way dedication, jurisdictional transfers, or incentives. Local government committees have argued for a broad interpretation of the match requirement. The CSTIP projects above may be eligible for these funds if local match requirements can be met.

The list of Projects of Statewide Significance was included in the materials from Bruce Warner in the March 3, 2004 supplemental materials. The list of projects is shown below for your convenience:

- o I-5 Columbia River Crossing (Portland/Vancouver)
- o Sunrise Corridor (I-205 to U.S. 26)
- o I-5 to Highway 99W (Tualatin-Sherwood Bypass)
- o I-205 (Columbia River to I-5)
- o I-405 Loop
- Newberg-Dundee Transportation Improvement Project
- Highway 20 (Pioneer Mtn to Eddyville)
- o Highway 62 (Units 2 & 3 (Medford)

The County Board will be considering a request from the City of Coburg for a \$2.5 million match for federal earmark purposes in the CIP process. It is possible that this match could be applied to OTIA funds as well, if approved by the Board. A recent announcement by Congressman DeFazio's office did not include I-5/Coburg Interchange in his list of earmarked projects coming out of the House transportation committee.

# • Federal Match (Advance Construction [A/C] \$200 million statewide)

The OTC has reserved \$200 million in order to match certain projects if federal earmarks are received. I-5/Beltlline Interchange is the only Lane County project on the OTC earmark list.

(Excerpt from ODOT materials). The OTC approved a list of federal earmark requests. These projects (see below) will have first call on A/C money. However, A/C money may also be used to move projects of statewide significance along.

- Highway 217 (Tualatin Valley Highway to US 20)
- o I-5 Fern Valley Interchange
- U.S. 97 (Modoc Point to Algoma)
- U.S. 97 Redmond Bypass
- I-5 (Delta Park to Lombard)
- o I-5 Beltline Interchange
- I-5 Winchester Interchange/Bridge
- o US 20 (Pioneer Mtn. to Eddyville)
- Emergency Bridge Repair/ Replacement

Exhibit A is a draft of a countywide priority list. This sheet will be updated as necessary following MPC action on April 8, 2004. The recommend priorities in CSTIP, DSTIP, and OTIA categories for the 2006-2009 STIP are highlighted "bold boxes".

#### **Additional Comments**

The City of Oakridge has submitted a new project application for Hwy 58 Revitalization in Oakridge for \$4,800,000. It is intended to provide bicycle lanes, improved sidewalks, improved access management, and other improvements. As noted in the application, there is currently a refinement plan in process with a Transportation Growth Management (TGM) grant to be completed by June 2005. One of the primary issues for this project will be whether removal of through travel lanes will be part of this project concept. Until this issue between ODOT and the city is resolved, county staff is reluctant to put a priority on this project. For that reason, the project has been added to the CSTIP smaller project list, but does not have an identified priority. This project should be assessed again in the next STIP cycle after completion of the refinement plan.

Staff has also received a copy of a letter of general support from the Lane Economic Council (see Attachment 2). This is a committee of the LCOG Board concerned with economic development issues in the county. The letter expresses general support for ODOT investments locally through the STIP and, in particular, expresses support for the I-5/Beltline Interchange, the West Eugene Parkway, improvements to the Beltline Highway, and improvements to I-5 (both through the bridge program and interchange studies along the Interstate).

## C. Alternatives / Options

- 1. Adopt the Order with Exhibit A as presented.
- 2. Modify Exhibit A as desired by the Board.
- 3. Decline to adopt the Order.

## D. Recommendation

Option 1 or 2

## E. Timing

An All-Area meeting for Region 2 has been scheduled for May 14, 2004 in Salem. Board action is needed in preparation for that meeting.

## IV. IMPLEMENTATION/FOLLOW-UP

Commissioners Green and Morrison (Alternate) have been designated to represent Lane County at the Region 2 All-Area meetings and for other matters related to Area Commissions on Transportation. The Board will also be asked by ODOT to comment on the draft STIP program when it is released for public comment later this year.

## V. ATTACHMENTS

ORDER with Exhibit A

Attachment 1 Fourth letter from Ollie Snowden, Public Works Director, to Cities and Interested Parties

Attachment 2 March 5, 2004 Letter from the Lane Economic Council (LCOG)

# IN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LANE COUNTY STATE OF OREGON

ORDER NO.	) ) )	IN THE MATTER OF RECOMMENDING COUNTYWIDE MODERNIZATION PROJECT PRIORITIES TO THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ODOT) FOR THE 2006-2009 STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (STIP)
	n co	ent of Transportation has requested input from the Lane untywide modernization priorities for the FY 2006-2009 Program (STIP); and
WHEREAS, the Lane County countywide priorities on March 10,		ard of Commissioners held a work session on the 4; and
discussion on March 11, 2004 and	sub	cy Committee (MPC) held a public hearing and sequently on April 8, 2004 discussed and approved final setropolitan area for the FY 2006-2009 STIP; and
		ard of Commissioners held a public hearing on April 14, zation project priorities for the FY 2006-2009 STIP; and
WHEREAS, the Board wishes priorities (Exhibit A) to the Oregon I	i to i Dep	recommend a countywide list of modernization project artment of Transportation); now, therefore, it is hereby
ORDERED that the preliminar Region 2 Manager for consideration		eadway project list (Exhibit A) be sent to the ODOT
Dated this day	of i	April 2004.

Chair, Lane County Board of Commissioners

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Date 4-5-04 lapprounit

<u>.                                    </u>	$\overline{}$	<del></del>	<del></del>		EXHIBIT A : COUNTYWIDE MODERNIZATIO	14, 200	<u>ک</u> 4
COUNTY.	METRO	ع ا	2			14, 400	<u>÷</u>
Q ¥	¥	NOW.	PROJECT	LIMITS	DESCRIPTION		
Slaff Draft	Oran IV	Mar   Staff	off -	<del>                                      </del>	DESCRIPTION	cos	šΤ
	+-	_	+	#		(X100	<u>-</u> ۱0۲
	+-	+	<del></del>		CSTIP- Large Roadway Projects	V	~
						+	-
HIGH					<u> </u>	ļ	
OTIA		•	Interstate 5/Beltline	I-5 to Gateway/Beltline	Environmental Assessment Phase 1 Reconstruction and Right-		
HIGH		7	West Eugene Parkway.		of-way Purchase for EA Phases 1 & 2	\$13,00	10t
OTIA	OTIA	4	Units 2-A and 2-B	W11th to Beltline	New four lane arterial.		_
	1	}		<del>   </del>	New Your lane arterial.	<b>⊥</b>	
HIGH OTIA		FED!		11		1	
_	<del></del>	+	miteratate 5	Coburg	Reconstruct interchange	\$ 12,50	٠٠/
	ОТИ		West Eugene Parkway, Uni			\$ 12,50	Ju
	OTA		1-B Beltline Highway	Garfield to Seneca	New four lane arterial.	1	
_	OTIA		Franklin Boulevard	Roosevelt to W11th Jenkins Drive to Mill Street	W11th-NCL Stage 3, 4 lanes	\$17,00	חר
_		†_		DEUVIUS DUAG 10 IAIII OUGGE	Urban standards improvements and intersection improvements	+ **	10
IIGH	<del></del>	+	<del></del>	Garfield to	CSTIP-Smaller Roadway Projects		-
STIP	нісн	_ '	6th/7th Intersections	Washington/Jefferson	Provide improvements such as turn lanes and signal	<del></del>	-
IGH STIP	HIGH	<del> </del>		TI	Improvements	\$ 1,50	00
	nne-,	+'	Highway 126/W11th	Terry-Greenhill	Four lane urban standards		_
IIGH		'	1	D-148	1	\$5,50	0
STIP	HIGH	'	Beltiine Highway	Beltline at Coburg Rd Interchange	· []	1	
		+			Construct ramp and signal improvements	\$4,10	10
,	HIGH /OTIA	1 )	42nd Street	Marcola Rd to Weyerhaeuser			÷
——·	LOW	+	Highway 99 North	RR tracks (city street) Garfield to Roosevett	Upgrade to urban standards	1	
<del></del> ,		+	inghira, so moral	Washington-Jefferson Bridge	Urban standards improvements		-
'	LOW	<u> </u> _	Interstate 105	southbound		i — — —	-
	<u></u>	???	Hwy 58 Willamette	Nominally within city limits	Add lane to 6th Ave off-ramp Upgrade to urban standards, redesign proposed	\$4,430	
J	l	T 1		Washington-Jefferson Bridge		\$4,800	
<b>—</b> →	LOW	+-+	Interstate 105	northbound	Add NB lane from 6th to Delta Highway	- i	•
<u>_</u> †	LOW	++	Jasper Road McVay Highway	S 42nd-Jasper Road	Upgrade to urban standards	\$5,250	<u>ہ</u>
	( <del></del>			I-5 to Franklin	Upgrade to urban standards	<u>Φυ, ε. ε.</u>	<u>,</u>
J	<u>'</u>	LOW	Hwy 126 Florence-Eugene	at Whitaker Creek	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7
1	( )	1 1		In Oakridge at Fish Hatchery	left turn lane at Whiteaker Creek	\$ 4,000	ان
-+	,——,	LOW	Hwy 58 Willamette Hwy	Road	Construct left turn lane	75/	٦
-	<del></del>	LOW	Hwy 126 Florence-Eugene	Unspecified locations	Develop additional passing lane projects.	\$ 750	4
- 1	. )	1	1				+
		LOW	Hwy 126 Florence-Eugene	Wildcat Creek Bridges, MPs	i) i		
$\neg$			They rate i localitate Eagletta	27.38, 27.66, 27.89, 27.98	Widen four bridges, improve horizontal/vertical alignment	4200	10
	J	NOT	J.	J		<u> </u>	ή
_		MOD	Hwy 101	Suislaw River Bridge, Florence	Ontheate Breeze,		1
				<u> </u>		\$ 4,000	4
н				<del> </del>	DSTIP Large Projects		
TIP	нкн	·	Beltline Highway	River Road to Coburg Road	Facility Plan Study (Construction project in TransPlan is for widening to 6 lanes		1
H	,	, -	Interstate 5 Interchange		Facility Plan Study (TransPlan contains a series of	\$ 2,000	4
re	HIGH			Willamette River to 30th Ave	COnstruction projects in this social-1		1
			['	[	Projecto in tina corridory	\$ 750	4
H TUP	нкн			( <u> </u>	1		
<del>-+</del>	1113	<del></del>		At Coburg Interchange	Environmental Assessment for interchange reconstruction	\$ 200	
-		E'	Eugene-Springfield Highway	1		\$ 200	+
+	MED		(126)	At Q Street/Pioneer Parkway	Environmental Assessment for interchange improvements \$	້ະດດ	
	MED	-	ugene-Springfield Highway			\$ 500	+
-	ML.		11	At Main Street	Environmental Assessment for interchange reconstruction \$	\$ 500	1
	MED		Franklin Boulevard	l II		<del>/</del>	t.
					Environmental Assessment for urban standards reconstruction \$	\$ 200	1
		j		at Franklin Blvd and Glenwood	Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for new interchange		ĺ
+	MED	—  <sub>F</sub>	Interstate 5	Interchange	construction	- 250	1
	MED		ugene-Springfield Highway	150	Environmental Assessment for new interchange to replace traffic	\$ 2,250	+-
+	AEU .	-   E'	(126) a ugene-Springfield Highway	at 52nd Street	signal and at-grade intersection.	500	1
$\perp$	row	]_	(400)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	900	1
T		- ~ W   F				TBD	1_
+	-	row H	Hwy 126 Florence-Eugene V	Veneta-Fisher Road     N	Modernize 4 lanes and shoulders. First FIG.	TBD	ı_
	[ ]	LOW	Interstate 5	i-o @ o din Street, Collage		180	$\Gamma$
			Interstere 5	Jrove UF	Planning study for conversion to complete interchange, exit 172	твр	ı
Т.	-T		7.7	<del></del>	RIORITY FOR FUNDING IN THIS STIP CYCLE, EITHER THROUGH S		

-	HIGHWAY					
+	CLASSIFICATIO	N TRA	FFIC \	CVOLUME		COMMENT or STATUS
1		— — Mainline		Minor R	ond .	
Ţ			_		Q80	Consider for OTIA or
\ \ +	Interstate (NHS	) I-5 68,50	0	Beltline 30,00 to 50,000		Consider for OTIA Statewide funding. The amount requested co be \$30 million from OTIA. The \$13 million shown is the minimum needed for the next phase of construction beyond current STIP allocations.
1	Statewide (NHS	) n.a.		n.a.		Consider for OTIA Statewide funding
	Interstate	_				Requested as federal earmark Interchange and
	(NHS)/County	I-5 43,7	00	Pearl 16	6,000	Interchange Area Management Plan to be complete June 2005.  Possible for OTIA.
1	Statewide (NHS)					
‡=	Statewide(NHS)	n.a. Beltline 13,9	00 N	n.a. V11th 2	2 750	Large project, consider for OTIA Statewide funding
├-	Statewide (NHS)	20,500		n.a.	2,750	Large project, consider for OTIA Statewide funding Large project, consider for OTIA Statewide funding
		70. 34				5 - Sec., sonsider for OTIA Statewide funding
	Statewide (NHS)	7th 31,300 6th 29,000				The state of the s
	Statewide(NHS)	18,700	-+-	п.а.		High priority for STIP
	-()	10,700		n.a.		High priority for STIP
Statewide(NHS)/Ci		y Beltline 56,0	00 C	Coburg 23,250		This project was approved as a Region 2 priority in 2002. Prior to adoption of the STIP, funding was reallocated with a promise to backfill. Status is uncertain.
	City Street	12,000			$\Box$	
_	Statewide (NHS)	26,600	-+-	n.a.	_  }	ligh priority for STIP (also possible for OTIA)  ow priority for STIP
	Interstate/ (NHS)	1 105 00 15				
	Statewide (NHS)	I-105 33,40 4,400-9,800	0   6th	Ramp 18, n.a.	760 L	Ow priority for STIP
	Internated Allian			Delta Ramı	<u>                                     </u>	GM grant underway to resolve design issues. Complete June 2005
_	Interstate (NHS) District Hwy	I-105 32,200 7,400		16,950	L	ow priorily for STIP
_	District Hwy	14,400	+-	л.а. n.a.		ow priority for STIP
	Statewide (NHS)				P	ow priority for STIP roject revised and listed separately from WildCat Bridges.
		4,50	<del>9</del> +	n.a		
Sta	atewide (NHS)/City Statewide (NHS)	Hwy 58 4,400	<u>∫</u> Fis	h Hatch 4	Jin	Oakridge TSP. ODOT supports but notes environmental and right-of- ay constraints.
	Statewide (IVHS)	3,200-5800	┥	п.а.	Sc	coping and development needed
_5	Statewide (NHS)	4500		n.a.	str	eservation project will upgrade guardrail protection for these bridges. therwise, these bridges are not a high priority in the current bridge rategy.
s	Statewide (NHS)	12,900	,	n.a.		o short-term corrosion problem. Cathodic protection not needed until metime after 2010. Steel bridge deck section to be replaced 2004. idge program, not modernization.
			<b>-</b>			
S	tatewide(NHS)	Beltline 82,700	Delta	a 34,00	Stu  O  the	dy is on TransPlan constrained list. Construction project is on
In	terstate (NHS)	J-5 64,300		· · ·	Stu	idy is on TransPlan constrained liet Would all
_	(		<u> </u>	varies		
ln	terstate (NHS)	I-5 43,700	Pear	ri 16,000		burg TSP and has a completed interchange refinement plan. OT has funded an Interchange Area Management Plan to be npleted 2005.
St	atewide (NHS)	126 53,300			Con list.	ridor study is on constrained TransPlan list. Construction is on future
Sta	alewide (NHS)	126 20,300			Plan	nning level analysis is included in currently funded expressway study
Sta	atewide (NHS)	20,500			Nod:	al development planning work completed in Glenwood. Project is on
nte	erstate (NHS)	I-5 64.300			Proje repla	ect is under consideration in conjuction with Willamette River bridge accement project. Interchange study is on constrained list. Interchange act is on TransPlan future list.
la	lewide (NHS)	126 20,300			Pland of 12	ning level analysis is included in currently funded expressway study
ta	lewide (NHS)	126 42.500			Corri	dor study is on constrained TransPlan list. Construction is on future
	lewide (NHS)	15,100				
	le (NHS)/County	26,400		n.a. h 2,550	•	ing and development needed. No recent activity.  plan amendment needed. ODOT/DLCD concern. No recent activity.



99 E. Broadway, Suite 400, Eugene, OR 97401-3111 (541) 682-4283 Fax: (541) 682-4099 TTY: (541) 682-4567 www.lcog.org

March 5, 2004

To: Lane County Board of Commissioners

From: Lane Economic Committee

Subject: Lane County 06-09 STIP Priorities

The Lane Economic Committee has reviewed the Lane County 06-09 STIP Priority List. A modern, well functioning surface transportation system is a key determinant in the health and growth of the County's economy. We have the following comments regarding the STIP's impact on economic development activity:

- 1. Given the major role played by the I-5 freeway in supporting our region's access to key markets, we believe that significant improvements must be undertaken to sustain the region's effective use of this corridor. The highest priority should continue to be placed on improvements to the Beltline / I-5 interchange. This interchange provides critical access to important industrial areas in Gateway, Coburg Road, Highway 99, the Eugene Airport and West Eugene.
- 2. In addition to the Beltline / I-5 interchange, other sections of Beltline deserve significant attention for the reasons described in #1. These sections include widening and improving the segments near Roosevelt and also between River Road and Coburg Road. Frequent bottlenecks in these areas have a negative impact on critical freight movements and industrial and wholesale trade.
- Our location between major markets makes it important to have efficient access to the Interstate
  from outlying areas. The West Eugene Parkway is the most important investment the region can
  make to establish viable industrial areas in west Eugene and support the tourism of west Lane
  County.
- 4. The LEC strongly endorses the ongoing I-5 bridge repair effort. It is imperative that we make the necessary improvements and replacements of these bridges in order to assure a continued and uninterrupted use of our major transportation corridor.
- 5. The LEC also supports all of the current and planned efforts to study the existing system of I-5 interchanges through the County. Improved, modern I-5 interchanges are critical to the future development of Coburg, Glenwood and the Lane Community College area.
- 6. The LEC reinforces the importance of industrial and tourism development's reliance on transportation systems for opportunity areas throughout the metropolitan area and for links to rural areas to the east and south that can only occur through funding projects like the several projects along State Highway 126 and the Highway 58 Fish Hatchery Road project in Oakridge.

John Tamulonis

Chairman, Lane Economic Committee

#### Attachment 1

April 9, 2004

Subject: Notice of County Board of Commissioners Public Hearing on Countywide Modernization Priorities for the 06-09 ODOT Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

Dear Mayors, City Staff, and Interested Parties:

This is our fourth letter on the process for consideration of project priorities for the ODOT Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for the FY 06-09 period. The purpose of this letter is to finalize the date and location of the Board public hearing and to provide you with an advance copy of the draft countywide modernization project priorities.

Attached is the draft countywide modernization project priority listing that has been proposed by staff as Exhibit A to the Board Order that will be considered on April 14, 2004. We held this letter until the Metropolitan Policy Committee (MPC) met on April 8, 2004 to take action on the metropolitan STIP priorities.

The Lane County Board will hold a public hearing on this issue on April 14, 2004 at 1:30 p.m. in the Lane County Public Service Building, 125 E. 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Eugene. Final details are not yet available on the agenda for April 14<sup>th</sup>. We expect that there will be four public hearings held on the afternoon of April 14<sup>th</sup>. You can check the county website (<a href="www.lanecounty.org">www.lanecounty.org</a>) the week of the meeting to see the final agenda sequence and to obtain final approved copies of the Board materials.

If you have questions regarding the materials or the process, please contact us at the Lane County Public Works Department. You may contact Jason Lien at 682-6975 or me at 682-6910.

Sincerely,

Oliver P. Snowden Public Works Director

**Enclosures:** 

Draft Exhibit A Countywide Modernization Project Priorities